Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,593	SHI, PENG-CHENG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection	
(1) Jermele M. Hollington (examiner).	(3)	
(2) Belinda Lee (applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: May 23, 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	n. The examiner will provide a writer record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance
·		
Jen n. High		
examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative S	signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since the contact information is outside of the U.S., the examiner emailed the applicant's representative on May 23, 2006 to find if there was any correspondence sent to the office regarding the Office Action mailed on November 21, 2005. The applicant's representative emailed the examiner back on May 28, 2006 to confirm no correspondence was sent and the case is abandoned.